

Overall architecture:

- 0: The architecture is not clearly defined and the implementation is messy
- 1: The architecture is defined but the implementation is messy
- 2: The architecture is clear and the implementation is adequate

Choice of technology:

- 0: The choice of technology is very unfit and will probably be an obstacle to future development.
- 1: The choice of technology is mostly appropriate
- 2: The choice of technology is a good fit

Note: The chosen technology must either be good for prototyping or final product to get 1-2.

Performance (If the code is not in executable state, we will evaluate the way the code is written for performance)

- 0: The code will not be able to handle any simultaneous users and/or executes slowly.
- 1: The code is not optimized for many concurrent users but works rather well for a few.
- 2: The code is well optimized for concurrency.

Code quality:

- 0: The code is not properly structured and is hard to review
- 1: The code is fairly modular but confusing and hard to review
- 2: The code is well structured and well commented, easy to review

User interface:

- 0: The user interface is confusing and hard to use
- 1: The user interface is manageable but not enjoyable
- 2: The user interface is intuitive and aesthetically pleasing

Security:

0: The implementation does not take privacy and data security into account

1: The implementation partially takes privacy and data security into account

2: The implementation has a clear strategy/architecture for privacy and data security