

Course Evaluation Report-

School of Social and Health Sciences



Course Information

Semester:	Spring 2012	Type of studies:	Normal
Course code:	SK3001	Number of registered:	11
Examiner:	Jonna Johansson	Response rate (initiated):	45,5%
Course Name:	International Relations (61-90) 30 Credits		
Module:	Theory and method in international relations 7,5 Credits		

Index Areas - Key figures

Index Areas: Mean value1-6)	Mean value
Preconditions: Objectives and examinations	3,50
Course as a precondition for achieving learning outcomes	3,36
Teachers' and students' efforts	3,90

Preconditions: Objectives and examinations

1. The course's intended learning outcomes were made clear early in the course. (1 =I do not agree at all 6=I fully agree)







1	0%	0
2	0%	0
3	40%	2
4	60%	3
5	0%	0
6	0%	0

2. The requirement of knowledge needed for the course's examination and grading criterion were made clear early in the course. (1 =I do not agree at all, 6=I fully agree)







1	0%	0
2	20%	1
3	40%	2
4	20%	1
5	20%	1
6	0%	0

Course as a precondition for achieving learning outcomes







3. The course's structure created good bases for me to reach the intended learning outcomes. (1 =I do not agree at all, 6=I fully agree)

1		20%	1
2		20%	1
3		0%	0
4		20%	1
5		20%	1
6		20%	1







4. The course's working methods created good chances for me to reach the intended learning outcomes.(1 =I do not agree at all, 6=I fully agree)

1		20%	1
2		20%	1
3		20%	1
4		0%	0
5		20%	1
6		20%	1







5. The contents of the teaching provided a good basis for me to reach the intended learning outcomes. (1 =I do not agree at all, 6=I fully agree)

1		20%	1
2		20%	1
3		0%	0
4		40%	2
5		0%	0
6		20%	1

6.The contents of the course literature/course material provided a good basis for me personally to reach the intended learning outcomes. (1 =I do not agree at all, 6=I fully agree)





1		20%	1
2		20%	1
3		0%	0
4		40%	2
5		20%	1
6		0%	0

7. The course's examination(s) was well formulated in relation to the intended learning outcomes. (1 =I do not agree at all, 6=I fully agree)






1		20%	1
2		20%	1
3		20%	1
4		0%	0
5		40%	2
6		0%	0

Teachers' and students' efforts

8. The teachers work and effort in the course created good possibilities for me to reach the intended learning outcomes. (1 =I do not agree at all, 6=I fully agree)

1		0%	0
2		20%	1
3		20%	1
4		40%	2
5		0%	0
6		20%	1

9. My own personal work and effort in the course created good possibilities for me to reach the intended learning outcomes. (1 =I do not agree at all, 6=I fully agree)

1		0%	0
2		20%	1
3		20%	1
4		20%	1
5		20%	1
6		20%	1

Examiner's comment

There seem to be quite varied views of the quality and usefulness of this course. There seem to be a few students who are unhappy about most of the issues asked about in this evaluation. Interestingly enough all the students did well or very well in the assignments for the course and I, as a teacher, was very happy with what they wrote and the comments they gave at the seminars. This was the first time the course was given in this form and we will take this evaluation into consideration before we give the course again.