



High-level Programming for Specifying Run-time Reconfiguration in Processor Arrays

Authors: Zain-ul-Abdin and
Bertil Svensson

CENTRE FOR RESEARCH ON EMBEDDED SYSTEMS

CERES




Motivation

- Challenges in the design of High-performance Embedded Systems
 - Real-time performance
 - Energy Efficiency
 - Adaptable functionality
- Emergence of coarse-grained reconfigurable processor arrays
 - Optimized functional units with low silicon cost
 - Adaptable for new functionalities
 - Energy Efficient implementation
 - Controlling clock frequency of individual cores
 - Switching off unused cores


Traditional Approaches

- Imperative languages (C, Pascal)
 - Rely on sequential control flow
 - Intended for algorithm specification
 - Use annotations to adapt to target architecture
- Traditional methods
 - Automatic parallelization by compilers
 - Use of advanced synthesis tools
- Lack of support for expressing dynamic reconfiguration



Our Approach

- Use of Concurrent Programming Model
 - Expresses computations in a productive manner by matching it to target hardware
 - Supported by a compiler for allowing portability
- Occam-pi
 - CSP dataflow
 - Mobility features of pi-calculus
 - Expression of Reconfigurability





Occam-pi Significance

- Explicit concurrency
 - Explicit control of granularity of parallelism and data locality
- Strong encapsulation
- Asynchronous or untimedness
- Language support hides control flow
- Backpressure assures no loss of data
- Abstractions for underlying hardware
 - Processes
 - Channels (Unbuffered message passing)

Mobile data

- Variables can only be written by the owner process i.e., data is strictly private
- In occam-pi, only one name can ever refer to the same object
 - Thus avoiding aliasing
- Automatically guarantees against ***parallel race hazards*** on data access
- Occam-pi introduces `MOBILE` data
 - Ownership of data can be exported between different processes
 - Only one process can hold a given mobile data

Dynamic Process Invocation



- Occam-pi offers dynamic spawning of processes
- Occam-pi introduces two new keywords – `FORKING` and `FORK`
- Inside a `FORKING` block, we can use `FORK` at any time to spawn a new process
- When the `FORKING` block exits, it'll wait for all the spawned processes to finish
- The arguments to a `FORKed` process should be:
 - Passed by value (i.e., `VAL`)
 - Mobile – where they are then owned by new process




Ambric Arch. & Programming Model



- Occam-pi Code

```


PROC SimpleEx()
  INT j,k:
  CHAN INT a,b,c,d:
  PAR
    SEQ j=1 FOR 8
      a ! j
      Square(a?,b!)
      Square(c?,d!)
    SEQ j=1 FOR 8
      d ? k
  :
PROC Square(CHAN INT a?,b!)
  INT x,y:
  SEQ
    a ? x
    y = x * x
    b ! y
  :
    
```



CERES

CENTRE FOR RESEARCH ON EMBEDDED SYSTEMS


Occam-Ambric Compilation




Reconfigurable Workers Mapping




W1,W2 → Workers
 CC → Configuration Controller
 CL → Configuration Loader
 CM → Configuration Monitor



Communication b/w Configuration Controller & Workers



1D-DCT Case Study



Example Code

```

PROC loader(CHAN INT inp?, CHAN MOBILE INT cnf!,
           CHAN INT ack?)
  INT cstatus, value, id;
  MOBILE [100]INT config;
  CHAN MOBILE INT cnf;
  CHAN INT res;
  VAL RECONFIG IS 255;
  SEQ
  FORKING
  WHILE TRUE
  SEQ
  inp ? value
  cnf ! value
  ack ? cstatus
  IF
  cstatus = RECONFIG
  SEQ
  ack ? id
  IF
  id = 1
  FORK task2(config, cnf?, res!)
  id = 2
  ...
  :

```

(a)

```

PROC monitor(CHAN INT res?, CHAN INT ack!,
            CHAN INT outp!)

  INT status;
  VAL RECONFIG IS 255;
  WHILE TRUE
  SEQ
  res ? status
  IF
  status = RECONFIG
  ack ! RECONFIG
  status <> RECONFIG
  outp ! status
  :

```

(b)

```

PROC task2(MOBILE [100]INT config,
          CHAN MOBILE INT cnf?, CHAN INT res!)
  CHAN INT ch;
  PLACED PAR
  PROCESSOR 1,1
  stage3(config, cnf?, ch!)
  PROCESSOR 1,2
  stage4(config, ch?, res!)
  :

```

(c)

Implementation Results

No. of Configuration words = 97

DCT Implementations	Cycle Counts
4-Processor DCT	1340
2-Processor Reconfigurable (including reconfiguration time)	2612
Reconfiguration time	550

Conclusions & Future Work



- Application development based on concurrent computation models (Streaming/CSP/KPN)
 - Raises the abstraction level while not compromising the performance
 - Able to express dynamic reconfiguration
- Extend the Compiler framework to provide:
 - Target-specific partitioning techniques
- Evaluation of the framework with multiple signal processing applications and for multiple target architectures



Thank you for your attention!