

# SOLUTIONS TO EXAM FOR STOCHASTIC MODELS IN DISCRETE TIME 3.75 ECTS

Master's program of Financial Mathematics  
August 11, 2009, 9.00 – 13.00

**Max number of points:** 30.

**Halmstad University grading bounds:** 12p  $\Rightarrow$  grade 3, 18p  $\Rightarrow$  grade 4, 24p  $\Rightarrow$  grade 5.

**ECTS bounds:** 12p  $\Rightarrow$  grade E, 15p  $\Rightarrow$  grade D, 18p  $\Rightarrow$  grade C, 21p  $\Rightarrow$  grade B, 24p  $\Rightarrow$  grade A.

**Allowed aids:** Summary of formulae attached to the exam, calculator and dictionary.

**Examiner:** Eric Järpe (035-16 76 53, 0702-822 844).

1. Prove that if  $\{X_n\}_0^N$  is a local martingale with respect to the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_0^N$  such that  $E(\min(0, X_N)) > -\infty$  and  $E(\max(0, X_N)) < \infty$ , then  $\{X_n\}_0^N$  is a martingale with respect to  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_0^N$ . (4p)

**Solution:** (See pp 100 in *Essentials of Stochastic Finance. Facts, Models, Theory.* by A.N. Shiryaev.)  $\square$

2. In a financial market, each day  $n$ , the brokers buy for the amount  $X_n$  and sell for  $Y_n$ , where  $X_n \in Poi(3)$  and  $Y_n \in Poi(2)$  in millions of dollars and  $\{X_n\}$  and  $\{Y_n\}$  are independent between days and of each other. What is
- (a) the probability that the business volume (i.e. amount buy for and amount sell for added) exceeds \$5 million during one day? (3p)
- (b) approximately the probability that the business volume exceeds \$1.2 billion (i.e. \$1200 million) during one year (i.e. 250 days)? (5p)

**Solution:**

(a)  $P(\underbrace{X_n + Y_n}_{\in Poi(5)} > 5) = 1 - P(X_n + Y_n \leq 5) = 1 - \sum_{k=0}^5 \frac{5^k}{k!} e^{-5}$  {or from the table} =  
 $1 - 0.616 = 0.384$ .

(b) According to the Central Limit Theorem we have that  $\sum_{k=1}^{250} X_k + \sum_{k=1}^{250} Y_k$  is approximately distributed  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  where  $\mu = E(\sum_{k=1}^{250} X_k + \sum_{k=1}^{250} Y_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{250} E(X_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{250} E(Y_k) = 250 \cdot 3 + 250 \cdot 2 = 1250$  and  $\sigma^2$  is also  $= 1250$  since the variables are independent and Poisson distributed. Thus  $P(X_1 + Y_1 + \dots + X_{250} + Y_{250} > 1200) \approx 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{1200 - 1250}{\sqrt{1250}}\right) = \Phi(1.4142) = 0.9207$ .  $\square$

3. Assume  $\{X_t\}$  is an AR(2) process with  $E(X_t) = 100$ ,  $V(X_t) = 4$ ,  $C(X_t, X_{t+1}) = 3$  and  $C(X_t, X_{t+2}) = 2$ . Determine the parameters  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$  and  $\sigma_\epsilon^2$ . (4p)

**Solution:** According to the Yule-Walker equations we get

$$\begin{cases} r(0) - a_1r(-1) - a_2r(-2) = \sigma_\epsilon^2 & (1) \\ r(1) - a_1r(0) - a_2r(-1) = 0 & (2) \\ r(2) - a_1r(1) - a_2r(0) = 0 & (3) \end{cases} \sim \begin{cases} 4 - 3a_1 - 2a_2 = \sigma_\epsilon^2 & (1) \\ 3 - 4a_1 - 3a_2 = 0 & (2) \\ 2 - 3a_1 - 4a_2 = 0 & (3) \end{cases}$$

$$(2') : 4a_1 + 3a_2 = 3. \quad (3') : 3a_1 + 4a_2 = 2.$$

$$3(2') - 4(3') : (9 - 16)a_2 = 9 - 8 \Rightarrow a_2 = -\frac{1}{7}.$$

$$(3') \Rightarrow a_1 = \frac{1}{3}(2 - (-\frac{1}{7}) \cdot 4) = \frac{6}{7}.$$

$$(1) \Rightarrow \sigma_\epsilon^2 = 4 - 3 \cdot \frac{6}{7} + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{7} = \frac{28-18+2}{7} = \frac{12}{7}.$$

Finally, since  $X_t - a_1X_{t-1} - a_2X_{t-2} = a_0 + \epsilon_t$  (by the definition of the AR(2) process) and since  $E(X_t) = 100$  and  $E(\epsilon_t) = 0$ , we get  $100 - a_1100 - a_2100 = a_0 + 0 \Rightarrow a_0 = 100 \cdot \frac{7-6+1}{7} = \frac{200}{7}$ .

To summarize:  $a_0 = \frac{200}{7}$ ,  $a_1 = \frac{6}{7}$ ,  $a_2 = -\frac{1}{7}$  and  $\sigma_\epsilon^2 = \frac{12}{7}$ .  $\square$

4. An index,  $\{X_n\}$ , is described by a GARCH(1,1) process with  $a_0 = 111$ ,  $a_1 = 0.1$  and  $a_2 = 0.5$ . Calculate the
- (a) variance of  $X_n$ . (4p)
- (b) probability that the index exceeds 100 at time  $n+1$  given that it was 99 at time  $n$ , and that  $\sigma_n = 50$ . (5p)

**Solution:**

(a) Since  $E(X_n) = 0$  and since  $\sigma_n \perp \epsilon_n$  we get  $\sigma^2 = E(X_n^2) = E(\sigma_n^2 \epsilon_n^2) = E(\sigma_n^2)E(\epsilon_n^2) = E(\sigma_n^2) = E(a_0 + a_1X_{n-1}^2 + b_1\sigma_{n-1}^2) = a_0 + a_1E(X_{n-1}^2) + b_1E(\sigma_n^2) \Rightarrow \sigma^2 = a_0 + a_1\sigma^2 + b_1\sigma^2 \Rightarrow \sigma^2 = \frac{a_0}{1-a_1-b_1} = \frac{111}{1-0.1-0.5} = 277.5$ .

(b)  $P(X_{n+1} > 100 | X_n = 99, \sigma_n = 50) = P(\sigma_{n+1}\epsilon_{n+1} > 100 | X_n = 99, \sigma_n = 50) = P(\epsilon_{n+1} > \frac{100}{\sqrt{a_0+a_1X_n^2+b_1\sigma_n^2}} | X_n = 99, \sigma_n = 50) = 1 - P(\epsilon_{n+1} < \frac{100}{\sqrt{111+0.1 \cdot 99^2+0.5 \cdot 50^2}}) = 1 - \Phi(\frac{100}{48.385}) = 0.0192$ .  $\square$

5. Let  $\{M_n\}$  be a martingale with respect to the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n)$ . Show that  $\{X_n\}$ , defined by  $X_n = e^{M_n}$ , is a submartingale with respect to  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ . (5p)

**Solution:** We shall prove that  $E(X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) \stackrel{a.s.}{\geq} X_n$  for all  $n$ .

Since  $f(x) = e^x$  is a convex function we have according to the Jensen inequality that  $E(e^X) \geq e^{E(X)}$  for any random variable  $X$ . Thus  $E(X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) = E(e^{M_{n+1}} | \mathcal{F}_n) \geq e^{E(M_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n)} \stackrel{a.s.}{=} e^{M_n} = X_n$  for all  $n$ .  $\square$