

SOLUTIONS TO EXERCISE EXAM FOR STOCHASTIC MODELS IN DISCRETE TIME
2.5 POINTS/3.75 ECTS

Master's program of Financial Mathematics
October 20, 2006, 9.00 – 13.00

Max number of points: 30.

Halmstad University grading bounds: 12p \Rightarrow grade 3, 18p \Rightarrow grade 4, 24p \Rightarrow grade 5.

ECTS bounds: 12p \Rightarrow grade E, 15p \Rightarrow grade D, 18p \Rightarrow grade C, 21p \Rightarrow grade B, 24p \Rightarrow grade A.

Allowed aids: Summary of formulae attached to the exam, calculator and dictionary.

Examiner: Eric Järpe (035-16 76 53, 0702-822 844).

1. Show the equivalence for strategies $\pi \in SF$ in a (B, S) -market with dividends

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} X_n^\pi = \beta_n B_n + \gamma_n (S_n + D_n) \\ B_{n-1} \Delta \beta_n + (S_{n-1} + D_{n-1}) \Delta \gamma_n = 0 \end{array} \right\} \iff \Delta X_n^\pi = \beta_n \Delta B_n + \gamma_n (\Delta S_n + \Delta D_n)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. (3p)

Solution: We must show that $\Delta X_n^\pi = \beta_n \Delta B_n + \gamma_n (\Delta S_n + \Delta D_n)$ using only that $X_n^\pi = \beta_n B_n + \gamma_n (S_n + D_n)$ and $X_n^\pi = \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta X_k$, $B_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta B_k$, $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta S_k$, $D_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta D_k$ (assuming $X_0^\pi = B_0 = S_0 = D_0 = 0$).

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta X_n^\pi &= X_n^\pi - X_{n-1}^\pi \\ &= \beta_n B_n + \gamma_n (S_n + D_n) - \beta_{n-1} B_{n-1} - \gamma_{n-1} (S_{n-1} + D_{n-1}) \\ &= \beta_n \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta B_k + \gamma_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \Delta S_k + \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta D_k \right) - \beta_{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Delta B_k - \gamma_{n-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Delta S_k + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Delta D_k \right) \\ &= (\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Delta B_k + (\gamma_n - \gamma_{n-1}) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Delta S_k + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Delta D_k \right) + \beta_n \Delta B_n + \gamma_n (\Delta S_n + \Delta D_n) \end{aligned}$$

(compare with (9) on page 386 in *Essentials of Stochastic Finance* by A.N. Shiryaev). Here $(\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Delta B_k + (\gamma_n - \gamma_{n-1}) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Delta S_k + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Delta D_k \right) = B_{n-1} \Delta \beta_n + (S_{n-1} + D_{n-1}) \Delta \gamma_n = 0$. Thus $\Delta X_n^\pi = \beta_n \Delta B_n + \gamma_n (\Delta S_n + \Delta D_n)$. (This proves the statement in both directions of implication.) □

2. Calculate the second moment of the stationary HARCH(2) process. (3p)

Solution: $h_n = \sigma_n \epsilon_n$ where $\{\epsilon_n\}$ is white noise and

$$\sigma_n^2 = a_0 + (a_1 + a_2)h_{n-1}^2 + a_2h_{n-2}^2 + 2a_2h_{n-1}h_{n-2}.$$

$$\text{Since } E(h_{n-1}h_{n-2}) = E(\epsilon_{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}h_{n-2}) = \underbrace{E(\epsilon_{n-1})}_{=0} E(\sigma_{n-1}h_{n-2}) = 0$$

we have that the second moment is

$$\begin{aligned} E(h_n^2) &= E(\sigma_n^2) \underbrace{E(\epsilon_n^2)}_{=1} = E(a_0 + (a_1 + a_2)h_{n-1}^2 + a_2h_{n-2}^2 + 2a_2h_{n-1}h_{n-2}) = \\ &= a_0 + (a_1 + a_2) \underbrace{E(h_{n-1}^2)}_{=E(h_n^2)} + a_2 \underbrace{E(h_{n-2}^2)}_{=E(h_n^2)} + 2a_2 \underbrace{E(h_{n-1}h_{n-2})}_{=0} \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow E(h_n^2)(1 - (a_1 + a_2) - a_2) = a_0 \Rightarrow E(h_n^2) = \frac{a_0}{1 - a_1 - 2a_2}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

3. Let $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ be a random walk white noise increments $\{\epsilon_n\}$.

(a) Is the process $\{Y_n\}$ defined by $Y_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X_n$ weakly stationary? (4p)

(b) Show that $E(X_n) = 0$ but $\forall C \in \mathbb{R} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n| > C) = 1$. (5p)

Solution:

(a) $Y_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^n \epsilon_k$ where $\epsilon_k \in N(0, 1)$ and $i \neq j \Rightarrow \epsilon_i \perp \epsilon_j$.

For $\{Y_k\}$ to be weakly stationary we must have that $E(Y_n) = m$ and $Cov(Y_n, Y_{n+h}) = R(h)$. We do have that $E(Y_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^n E(\epsilon_k) = 0$ and

$Cov(Y_n, Y_n) = D(Y_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n D(\epsilon_k)$ {because ϵ_k are independent} = 1 independently of n . But

$$\begin{aligned} Cov(Y_n, Y_{n+h}) &= Cov\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^n \epsilon_k, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+h}} \sum_{k=1}^{n+h} \epsilon_k\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n+h)}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n Cov(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+h} \underbrace{Cov(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j)}_{i \neq j} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n+h)}} \sum_{i=1}^n D(\epsilon_i) + 0 \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{n}{n+h}} \end{aligned}$$

which is *not* independent of n . Thus $\{Y_n\}$ is *not* weakly stationary.

(b) $E(\epsilon_k) = 0 \Rightarrow E(X_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n E(\epsilon_k) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \{D(\epsilon_k) = 1 \text{ and } \epsilon_i \perp \epsilon_j \text{ whenever } i \neq j\} &\Rightarrow D(X_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n D(\epsilon_k) = n \\ \epsilon_k \in N(0, 1) &\Rightarrow X_n \in N(0, n) \\ \Rightarrow P(|X_n| > C) &= P(\{X_n < -C\} \cup \{X_n > C\}) = 2P(X_n > C) = \\ &= 2\left(1 - \Phi\left(\frac{C}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right). \text{ Now } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n| > C) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2\left(1 - \Phi\left(\frac{C}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right) = \\ &= 2\left(1 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi\left(\frac{C}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right) = 2\left(1 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{C/\sqrt{n}} \Phi(dx)\right) = 2(1 - \Phi(0)) = 1. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

4. Consider the following game with a single dice: in round n we throw a fair dice and if the dice shows

- 6, then we get 6 SEK
- 1, 2, 3 or 4, then we must pay that amount SEK
- 5, then we throw the dice again and then if the dice shows
 - 5 or 6, then we get twice that amount SEK
 - 1, 2, 3 or 4 then we must pay that amount SEK

Determine whether this game is a martingale, a supermartingale or a submartingale for us. (3p)

Solution: Let g_n be our gain from round n . Then the total gain by round n is $G_n = \sum_{k=1}^n g_k$ and the question is whether $\{G_n\}$ is a martingale, a supermartingale or a submartingale.

Let D_1 be the outcome of the first throw and D_2 the outcome of the (possible) second throw. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} P(g_n = 6) &= P(D_1 = 6) = \frac{1}{6} \\ P(g_n = 2 \cdot 5) &= P(D_1 = 5 \cap D_2 = 5) = \frac{1}{36} \\ P(g_n = 2 \cdot 6) &= P(D_1 = 5 \cap D_2 = 6) = \frac{1}{36} \\ P(g_n = -1) &= P(\{D_1 = 1\} \cup \{D_1 = 5 \cap D_2 = 1\}) = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{6} = \frac{7}{36} \\ P(g_n = -2) &= \dots = \frac{7}{36} = P(g_n = -3) = P(g_n = -4) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, let } \Omega_g &= \{-4, -3, -2, -1, 6, 10, 12\}. \text{ Then } E(g_n) = \\ &= \sum_{k \in \Omega_g} kP(g_n = k) = -1 \cdot \frac{7}{36} - 2 \cdot \frac{7}{36} - 3 \cdot \frac{7}{36} - 4 \cdot \frac{7}{36} + 6 \cdot \frac{1}{6} + 10 \cdot \frac{1}{36} + 12 \cdot \frac{7}{36} = -\frac{1}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

With $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ we have that $E(G_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) = E(G_n + g_{n+1} | g_1, \dots, g_n) = G_n + E(g_{n+1}) = G_n - \frac{1}{3} \leq G_n$. Thus $\{G_n\}$ is a supermartingale. □

5. Let $\{X_t\}$ be a stationary $AR(2)$ process with coefficients $a_1 = 0$ and $a_2 = a \neq 0$.
- (a) Calculate the value of σ_ϵ^2 if $a = \frac{1}{2}$ and $D(X_t) = 1$. (3p)
- (b) Determine the covariance function of $\{X_t\}$. (4p)
- (c) Derive the maximum likelihood estimator of a . (5p)

Solution:

(a) $X_t = \frac{1}{2}X_{t-2} + \sigma_\epsilon \epsilon_t$ for all $t \Rightarrow$
 $1 = D(X_t) = D(\frac{1}{2}X_{t-2} + \sigma_\epsilon \epsilon_t) = \frac{1}{4}D(X_{t-2}) + \sigma_\epsilon^2 D(\epsilon_t) = \frac{1}{4} + \sigma_\epsilon^2 \Rightarrow \sigma_\epsilon^2 = \frac{3}{4}$.

(b) $R(1) = Cov(X_t, X_{t-1}) = Cov(aX_{t-2} + \sigma_\epsilon \epsilon_t, X_{t-1}) = aCov(X_{t-2}, X_{t-1}) + 0 =$
 $= aR(1) \Rightarrow R(1) = 0$

$R(2) = Cov(X_t, X_{t-2}) = Cov(aX_{t-2} + \sigma_\epsilon \epsilon_t, X_{t-2}) = aD(X_{t-2}) + 0 = a$

$R(3) = 0$

$R(4) = Cov(X_t, X_{t-4}) = Cov(aX_{t-2} + \sigma_\epsilon \epsilon_t, X_{t-4}) = aCov(X_{t-2}, X_{t-4}) + 0 =$
 $= aR(2) = a^2$

\vdots

$$R(h) = \begin{cases} a^{h/2} & \text{if } h \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

(c) $E(X_t | X_{t-2}) = aX_{t-2}$ and $D(X_t | X_{t-2}) = \sigma_\epsilon^2$ so the density function of x_t given x_{t-1}, x_{t-2}, \dots is $f(x_t | x_{t-1}, x_{t-2}, \dots; a) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_\epsilon^2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_\epsilon^2}(x_t - ax_{t-2})^2)$ and so the likelihood function, $L(a)$ (i.e. the joint density of the sample $\mathbf{x}_t = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$ conditional on the two first observations, x_1 and x_2) is $f(\mathbf{x}_t, | x_1, x_2) = \prod_{t=3}^n f(x_t | x_{t-2}; a) = \prod_{t=3}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_\epsilon^2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_\epsilon^2}(x_t - ax_{t-2})^2) =$
 $(2\pi\sigma_\epsilon^2)^{-(n-2)/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_\epsilon^2} \sum_{t=3}^n (x_t - ax_{t-2})^2\right)$. The log likelihood is therefore

$$\ell(a) = \ln L(a) = -\frac{n-2}{2} \ln(2\pi\sigma_\epsilon^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma_\epsilon^2} \sum_{t=3}^n (x_t - ax_{t-2})^2.$$

To maximise this we want to solve the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\ell}{da}(a) = 0 &\Rightarrow -\frac{1}{2\sigma_\epsilon^2} \sum_{t=3}^n 2(-x_{t-2})(x_t - ax_{t-2}) = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \sum_{t=3}^n x_t x_{t-2} = \sum_{t=3}^n a x_{t-2}^2 \\ &\Rightarrow \hat{a} = \frac{\sum_{t=3}^n x_t x_{t-2}}{\sum_{t=3}^n x_{t-2}^2} \end{aligned}$$

□