

DI4021 (Linuxadministration, VT 2025, Halmstad, E3168, V3168)

Antal möjliga respondenter: 87

Antal svar: 39

Svarsfrekvens: 44,83 %

Studietakt: 50 %

Examinators kursanalys

Vilka styrkor har kursen?

- The course was well-structured, offering comprehensive coverage of the topics, with an appropriate workload across lab assignments, mini-projects, and weekly quizzes. The regular quizzes and hands-on labs kept students motivated, engaged, and better prepared throughout the course.
- The course materials were informative and supported the achievement of the learning objectives. The learning tools provided easy access for students to practice and apply the knowledge acquired during lectures.
- Teachers were described as friendly, pedagogical, committed, and clear in their explanations.
- The design of the practical and final examinations tested students' knowledge in a (close to) realistic setting.

Vilka svagheter har kursen?

- Not enough guidance for setting up the working environment (e.g., Linux/VM installation).
- Lectures were not interactive enough. Additionally, the pace should be slower and more manageable, as content was often delivered too quickly, making it difficult to follow, particularly for students who missed a session.
- Students felt the distribution of university credits was unbalanced; the easier practical exam carried significantly more credits than the more demanding written exam, which should be reconsidered.
- Students should be allowed to go back to previous questions during quizzes and final exams. The current one-question-at-a-time format makes time management and correcting mistakes difficult.

Kommentera samarbetet med kursambassadörerna

Three course ambassadors were enrolled for designing the course survey.

Slutsatser samt eventuella förslag till kursutveckling eller beslut som redan fattats

- Introduce dedicated sessions during the first two weeks of the course to assist students with setting up their working environment (e.g., Linux/VM installation).
- Enhance lectures by incorporating more interactive elements such as quizzes, live coding demonstrations, practical examples, and group discussions to improve engagement and understanding.
- Introduce the project and deliver related course content earlier in the term to better prepare students and allow more time for project development.

Övriga kommentarer

-

Jag är sammantaget nöjd med kursen.

	Antal svar	Fördelning (%)	Index (%) Jag är sammantaget nöjd med kursen	
0 = Instämmer inte alls	0	0%	85	
1	0	0%		
2	1	3%		
3	4	10%		
4	19	49%		
5 = Instämmer helt	15	38%		
Ingen uppfattning	0	0%		
Summa	39	100%		

Hur mycket tid har du lagt på kursen i förhållande till kursens studietakt?

	Antal svar	Fördelning (%)
Mindre tid än kursens studietakt	30	77%
Motsvarande kursens studietakt	8	21%
Mer tid än kursens studietakt	1	3%
Summa	39	100%

Kursens utformning (undervisningsformer, litteratur, pedagogik etc.) har underlättat för mig att uppnå lärandemålen i kursplanen.

	Antal svar	Fördelning (%)
0 = Instämmer inte alls	0	0%
1	1	3%
2	5	13%
3	7	18%
4	10	26%
5 = Instämmer helt	16	41%
Ingen uppfattning	0	0%
Summa	39	100%

Index (%) Kursens utformning (undervisningsformer, litteratur, pedagogik etc.) har underlättat för mig att uppnå lärandemålen i kursplanen.

78

Jag har under kursens examinationsmoment haft möjlighet att visa att jag har uppnått lärandemålen i kursplanen.

	Antal svar	Fördelning (%)
0 = Instämmer inte alls	0	0%
1	0	0%
2	2	5%
3	2	5%
4	12	31%
5 = Instämmer helt	23	59%
Ingen uppfattning	0	0%
Summa	39	100%

Index (%) Jag har under kursens examinationsmoment haft möjlighet att visa att jag har uppnått lärandemålen i kursplanen.

89

Jag upplever att den sociala studiemiljön varit god under kursen.

	Antal svar	Fördelning (%)	Index (%) Jag upplever att den sociala studiemiljön varit god under kursen	
0 = Instämmer inte alls	0	0%	92	
1	0	0%		
2	1	3%		
3	2	5%		
4	7	18%		
5 = Instämmer helt	26	67%		
Ingen uppfattning	3	8%		
Summa	39	100%		

Kursens nöjdhetsindex

Nöjdhetsindex % (summering alla påståenden)	86
---	----

Were there any topics that should be added or covered in depth?

Were the course material clear and useful? What do you think about the quizzes?

Were the examination format appropriate? What can be improved?

Did you feel that your team collaborated effectively, and the workload is even? What could be improved in the group project?

Did the labs on Netacad and the mini-project help reinforce your understanding?